(क) क्या यह सच है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार ने राज्य सरकारों को न्यायालय की इमारतों के निर्माण के लिए 50:50 आधार पर सहायता देने का निर्णय लिया है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो उसका ब्यौरा क्या है ;

(ग) प्रत्येक राज्य से प्राप्त प्रस्तावों का ब्यौरा क्या है;

(घ) क्या सरकार वित्तीय वर्ष 1994-95 में इस योजना के लिए कोई वित्तीय प्रावधान करने जा रही है ;

(ङ) यदि हां, तो उसका राज्य-वार ब्यौरा क्या है; और

(च) यदि नहीं, तो उसके क्या कारण हैं ?

विधि, न्याय और कंपनी कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री हंसराज भारद्वाज) :—(क) से (च) :— न्यायपालिका के लिए अवसंरचनात्मक सुविधाओं के संबंध में केन्द्र द्वारा प्रायोजित एक स्कीम को संपूर्ण योजना आयोग द्वारा 23-7-93 को हुई अपनी बैठक में अनुमोदित कर दिया गया है । इस स्कीम में जिला न्यायालयों और उच्च न्यायालयों के लिए सरकारी और आवासीय भवनों का विनिर्माण सम्मिलित है । स्कीम पर व्यय का अंशभाजन केन्द्रीय सरकार और राज्य सरकारों द्वारा 50:50 के आधार पर किया जाएगा । संघ राज्यक्षेत्रों का व्यय पूर्णतया केन्द्र द्वारा पूरा किया जाएगा ।

वर्ष 1994-95 के लिए व्यय की अनुज्ञेय मदों की बाबत राज्यों से प्राप्त प्रस्ताव विवरण में दिए गए हैं, (नीचे देखिए) ।

योजना आयोग द्वारा केन्द्र के सहायता संबंधी अंश को अभी उपदर्शित किया जाना है ।

विवरण

राज्य का नाम	वर्ष 1994-95 के लिए प्राप्त वित्तीय प्रस्ताव (रु. लाख में)
1	2
1. असम	204.15
2. गुजरात	1720.60
3. गोवा	300.00
4. हरियाणा	2589.50
5. हिमाचल प्रदेश	1862.34
6. जम्मू-कश्मीर	1760.00
7. कर्नाटक	3220.40
8. केरल	1604.67
9. मध्य प्रदेश	380.00
10. महाराष्ट्र	2834.38
11 मणिपुर	422.20
12 नागालैंड	304.50
13. पंजाब	2500.00
14 राजस्थान	293.34
15. तमिलनाडु	1285.27
16. त्रिपुरा	1571.82
17. उत्तर प्रदेश	12844.66
18. पश्चिमी बंगाल	338.00

UNLICENSED DRUG MANUFACTURERS

*310. **SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA:** Will the **PRIME MINISTER** be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the organised drug industry of the country produces drugs worth Rs. 1600 crores per year whereas the non-licensed drug manufacturers are also producing spurious drugs worth almost the same amount;

(b) whether the anomalies in the present drug policy are responsible for this and if not, what are the other reasons for the unlicensed drug manufacture; and

(c) if so, the details of steps taken to, check the same ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND DEPARTMENT OF OCEAN DEPARTMENT (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO) : (a) to (c) Off the total annual production of about Rs. 6000 crores of drugs and formulations, about 30 per cent is produced

by small scale units which are not required to obtain industrial licence under the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act. However, all drug and formulation manufacturing units whether in the organised or in the unorganised sector are required to obtain drug licence under the Drugs and Cosmetics Act.

IMPROVEMENT OF PENICILLIN MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY

*311. SHRI N. GIRI PRASAD:

SHRI N. E. BALARAM:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what steps Government have taken for improving the technology of Hindustan Antibiotics Ltd. for the manufacture of Penicillin;

(b) whether it is a fact that Government have received offers for this project and what is the time frame for implementation of improvement plan; and

(c) whether Government are inviting offers for selling equity in HAL for upgradation of technology of HAL ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND DEPARTMENT OF OCEAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) The technology improvement is a continuous process. As a result of the efforts over the years, the rated capacity of Penicillin of Hindustan Antibiotics Ltd. (HAL) increased from 9 MMU in 1955-56 to 740 MMU in 1992-93. The production has increased from 1 MMU in 1955-56 to 713 MMU in 1992-93.

(b) Yes, Sir. Some private sector companies have indicated their interest in collaborating with Hindustan Antibiotics Ltd. for production of penicillin. No formal proposal as such has been received by the company.

(c) No, Sir.

AFFAIRS OF IPCL

*312. SHRI MOHD. KHALEEUR RAHMAN:

SHRI CHIMANBAI MEHTA:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that IPCL's net sales was 18.3 per cent and gross profits 10.4 per cent lower as compared to the corresponding period of last year for the six months ending September 30;

(b) if so, the details and causes of poor performance of the IPCL;

(c) whether the IPCL is deficient in technology compared to similar local or international companies or over-staffed and falls short of in respect of modern sound management practices and State-of-art technology;

(d) the reasons for which the IPCL would not withstand increased international competition due to cut in import duties; and

(e) whether input costs have gone up during the last six months including the cost of naphtha which is 30 per cent higher than international price ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND DEPARTMENT OF OCEAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) There has been a shortfall in the anticipated production, sales and profits during the six months period ending the 30th Sep-